UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,073	10/11/2000	David Traynor	2043.165US1	5507
49845 7590 05/22/2008 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/EBAY P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEA DOLLS: MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			COLBERT, ELLA	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3696	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@SLWIP.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Intonviou Summany	09/686,073	TRAYNOR ET AL.			
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ella Colbert	3696			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) Ella Colbert.	(3)				
(2) Ali Mireshgh.	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>13 May 2008</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) <mark>∏</mark> applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1, 8-10,13-15,35,& 37</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Halbert</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ℚ	g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' Representative, Mr. Ali Mireshgh discussed how the invention differs from the Halbert reference and that the Halbert reference does not have "communicating information to a network user interface. Mr, Mireshgh suggested adding claim 10 to claim 1 as an amendment. The Examiner suggested that this limitation be added to the other independent claims in order to avoid a possible restriction. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS					
INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
	/Ella Colbert/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 36	696			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi				